Previous s.16 Applications

Approved Applications

Application No.	Uses/Developments	Date of Consideration
A/NE-HLH/61	Temporary Open Storage with Ancillary Parking of Vehicles for a Period of 3 Years	24.11.2023 (Revoked on 24.8.2025)
A/NE-HLH/82	Temporary Warehouse (excluding Dangerous Goods Godown) with Ancillary Facilities for a Period of 3 Years	5.9.2025

Rejected Applications

Application No.	Uses/Developments	Date of Consideration	Rejection Reasons
A/NE-HLH/36	Proposed Temporary Logistics Centre for a Period of 3 Years	6.9.2019	R1, R2, R3
A/NE-FTA/58	Temporary Private Vehicle Park (Medium Goods Vehicles Only) for a Period of 3 Years	26.8.2022	R1, R4

Rejection Reasons

R1 The development was not in line with the planning intention of the "Agriculture" ("AGR") zone for the Hung Lung Hang area, which was primarily to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes and to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. There was no strong justification in the submission for a departure from such planning intention, even on a temporary basis.

R2 The application did not comply with the Town Planning Board Guidelines No. 13E in that there was no previous approval granted for the site and there were adverse departmental and public comments on the application.

R3 The approval of the application would set an undesirable precedent for similar applications within the "AGR" zone. The cumulative effect of approving such applications would result in a general degradation of the rural environment of the area.

R4 The applicant failed to demonstrate that the development would not cause adverse traffic impact on the surrounding areas.

Similar s.16 Applications for Temporary Warehouse in the vicinity of the Site within the "Agriculture" zone in the Hung Lung Hang Area

Approved Applications

	Application No.	Uses/Developments	Date of Consideration
1	A/NE-HLH/68	Temporary Open Storage and Warehouse of Construction Machinery and Construction Materials for a Period of Three Years	24.5.2024
2	A/NE-HLH/71	Temporary Open Storage and Warehouse of Construction Machinery for a Period of Three Years and Associated Filling of Land	10.5.2024 (revoked on 10.11.2025)
3	A/NE-HLH/77[1]	Proposed Temporary Container Storage Yard, Container Vehicle Park, Vehicle Repair Workshop, Logistics Centre, Warehouse and Open Storage of Miscellaneous Goods with Ancillary Facilities for a Period of 3 Years and Associated Filling of Land	22.11.2024 (revoked on 22.8.2026)
4	A/NE-HLH/79	Temporary Warehouse for Storage of Office Stationery and Equipment Supplies for a Period of 3 Years	14.3.2025
5	A/NE-HLH/82	Proposed Temporary Warehouse (excluding Dangerous Goods Godown) with Ancillary Facilities for a Period of 3 Years	5.9.2025
6	A/NE-HLH/85	Proposed Temporary Warehouse for Storing Car Parts with Ancillary Office and Associated Filling of Land for a Period of 3 Years	19.9.2025
7	A/NE-HLH/89	Temporary Warehouse (excluding Dangerous Goods Godown) and Open Storage of Miscellaneous Goods with Ancillary Facilities and Associated Filling of Land for a Period of 3 Years	6.2.2026
8	A/NE-HLH/91[1]	Proposed Temporary Container Storage Yard, Container Vehicle Park, Vehicle Repair Workshop, Logistics Centre, Warehouse and Open Storage of Miscellaneous Goods with Ancillary Facilities for a Period of 3 Years and Associated Filling of Land	24.7.2026
9	A/NE-HLH/92	Temporary Warehouse and Open Storage of Construction Materials and Scrap Metal with Ancillary Facilities for a Period of 3 Years	24.7.2026

Remarks

[*]: Applications No. A/NE-HLH/77 and A/NE-HLH/91 involved the same site.

Rejected Application

Application No.	Uses/Developments	Date of Consideration	Rejection Reasons
A/NE-HLH/93	Proposed Temporary Warehouse for Storage of Hardware and Construction Materials and Associated Filling of Land for a Period of Three Years	11.9.2026	R1, R2

Rejection Reasons

R1 The proposed use with associated filling of land was not in line with the planning intention of the "Green Belt" zone, which was primarily for defining the limits of urban and sub-urban development areas by natural features and to contain urban sprawl as well as to provide passive recreational outlets. There is a general presumption against development within this zone. It was also not in line with the planning intention of the "Agriculture" zone which was primarily to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes and to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. There was no strong planning justification in the submission for a departure from such planning intentions, even on a temporary basis.

R2 The proposed use with associated filling of land was not in line with the Town Planning Board Guidelines for 'Application for Development within the Green Belt zone under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance' (TPB PG-No. 10) in that it was considered not compatible with the surrounding areas, and the applicant failed to demonstrate that it would not have significant adverse landscape impact on the surrounding areas.

Government Departments' General Comments

1. Traffic

Comments of the Chief Highway Engineer/New Territories East, Highways Department (CHE/NTE, HyD):

- no comment on the application from highways maintenance perspective; and
- his advisory comments are at **Appendix V**.

2. Environment

Comments of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP):

- no objection to the application from environmental planning perspective, as no domestic dwellings are identified within 100m from the site boundary, despite that the applied use involves the use of heavy vehicles;
- no environmental complaint concerning the Site was received in the past three years; and
- his advisory comments are at **Appendix V**.

3. Drainage

Comments of the Chief Engineer/Mainland North, Drainage Services Department (CE/MN, DSD):

- no objection to the application from public drainage viewpoint; and
- should the application be approved, approval condition should be included to request the applicant to maintain the existing drainage facilities at the Site properly and rectify those facilities if found inadequate/ineffective during operation at all times during the planning approval period.

4. Landscape

Comments of the Chief Town Planner/Urban Design and Landscape, Planning Department (CTP/UD&L, PlanD):

- no adverse comment on the application from landscape planning perspective;
- based on the aerial photo of 2024, the Site is located in an area of miscellaneous rural fringe landscape character comprising temporary structures, open storages, warehouses, tree clusters and vegetated areas. The applied use is considered not entirely incompatible with the surrounding environment;
- with reference to the aerial photo and site photos taken on 2.10.2026, the Site was formed and some temporary structures and vehicles were found on it. According to the application form (**Appendix I**), no tree felling will be involved. In view of the above, significant adverse landscape impact arising from the applied use is not anticipated; and

- her advisory comments are at **Appendix V**.

5. Fire Safety

Comments of the Director of Fire Services (D of FS):

- no objection in principle to the application subject to fire service installations and water supplies for firefighting being provided to his satisfaction; and

- his advisory comments are at **Appendix V**.

6. Building Matters

Comments of the Chief Building Surveyor/New Territories West, Buildings Department (CBS/NTW, BD):

- no objection to the application;

- it is noted that seven structures and associated drainage works are proposed in the application. Before any new building works are to be carried out on site, prior approval and consent of the Building Authority (BA) should be obtained unless they are exempted building works or commenced under the simplified requirement under the Minor Works Control System, otherwise they are unauthorized building works under the Buildings Ordinance (BO). An Authorized Person should be appointed as the co-ordinator for the proposed building works in accordance with the BO; and

- his advisory comments are at **Appendix V**.

7. Other Departments

The following government departments have no objection to/no comment on the application:

- Chief Engineer 6/Major Works, Highways Department (CE6/MW, HyD);

- Chief Engineer/Construction, Water Supplies Department (CE/C, WSD);

- Project Manager (North), North Development Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department (PM(N), CEDD); and

- District Officer (North), Home Affairs Department (DO(N), HAD).

Recommended Advisory Clauses

(a) failure to reinstate the application site (the Site) as required under the relevant approval condition upon expiry of the planning permission might constitute an unauthorized development under the Town Planning Ordinance and be subject to enforcement and prosecution actions;

(b) to note the following comments of the District Lands Officer/North, Lands Department (DLO/N, LandsD) that:

(i) the Site comprises Old Schedule Agricultural Lots held under the Block Government Lease which contains the restriction that no structures are allowed to be erected without the prior approval of the Government. The proposed ingress/egress of the Site is required to pass through Government land (GL) but no right of access via GL is granted to the Site;

(ii) the private lot is covered by Short Term Waiver (STW) No. 1675 for the purpose of open storage and ancillary uses and the total built-over area of the structures on the lot shall not exceed 156.4m^2 in one storey. The existing built-over area of the structures on the said private lot exceeds those permitted under the STW. The STW holder should immediately rectify the breaches and his officer reserves the rights to take necessary enforcement action against the breaches without further notice; and

(iii) the STW holder will need to apply to his office for modification of the STW conditions where appropriate. The application for modification of the STW conditions will be considered by the Government in its capacity as a landlord and there is no guarantee that it will be approved. The STW modification, if approved, will be on whole lot basis and subject to such terms and conditions including the payment of waiver fee and administrative fee as considered appropriate to be imposed by LansdD. Besides, given the applied use is temporary in nature, only erection of temporary structure(s) will be considered;

(c) to note the comments of the Commissioner for Transport (C for T) that the proposed vehicular access road between Kong Nga Po Road and the Site is not managed by Transport Department (TD); the applicant should seek comments/approvals from the responsible parties for the proposed vehicular access road; sufficient manoeuvring space shall be provided within the Site; and no vehicle is allowed to queue back to or reverse onto/from public road at any time during the planning approval period;

(d) to note the comments of the Chief Highway Engineer/New Territories East, Highways Department (CHE/NTE, HyD) that the proposed access arrangement of the Site should be commented and approved by TD; HyD shall not be responsible for the maintenance of proposed access connecting the Site; and adequate drainage measures should be provided to prevent surface water running from the Site to the nearby public roads and drains;

(e) to note the comments of the Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) that the applicant should observe the requirements of the latest 'Code of Practice on Handling the Environmental Aspects of Temporary Uses and Open Storage Sites'; and if toilet is provided, to provide suitable sewage treatment facilities as required under Professional Persons Environmental Consultative Committee Practice Notes PN 1/23 'Drainage Plans subject to Comment by the Environmental Protection Department' and are duly certified by an Authorized Person (AP) or employ licensed waste collector to regularly collect and properly dispose of the sewage collected in the proposed toilet;

(f) to note the comments of the Chief Town Planner/Urban Design and Landscape, Planning Department (CTP/UD&L, PlanD) that some trees were observed at the eastern site boundary outside the Site; approval of the application does not imply approval of tree works such as pruning, transplanting and felling. The applicant should seek approval for any proposed tree works from relevant government departments prior to commencement of the works;

(g) to note the comments of the Director of Fire Services (D of FS) that:

(i) in consideration of the design/nature of the proposal, fire service installations (FSIs) are anticipated to be required. The applicant should submit relevant layout plans incorporated with the proposed FSIs to his satisfaction. In addition, the applicant should note that the layout plans should be drawn to scale and depicted with dimensions and nature of occupancy; the location of the proposed FSIs to be installed should be clearly marked on the layout plans; and as there is no access for emergency vehicles being provided to reach 30m from the Site, additional fire safety measures shall be imposed; and

(ii) if the proposed structures are required to comply with the Buildings Ordinance (BO) (Cap. 123), detailed fire service requirements will be formulated upon receipt of formal submission of general building plans;

(h) to note the comments of the Project Manager (North), North Development Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department (PM(N), CEDD) that the temporary warehouse (excluding dangerous goods godown) with ancillary facilities for a period of three years is located within the proposed Ta Kwu Ling New Development Area (TKL NDA) under the Planning and Engineering (P&E) Study for TKL NDA. The preliminary development proposal for TKL NDA was released in December 2024. While the implementation programme of TKL NDA is being formulated under the P&E Study, the site formation works will likely commence soon after the completion of detailed design in next stage. Hence, the applicant is reminded that subject to the land use planning in the P&E Study, the applied use, if approved, may need to be vacated for the site formation works; and

(i) to note the following comments of the Chief Building Surveyor/New Territories West, Buildings Department (CBS/NTW, BD) that:

(i) it is noted that seven structures and associated drainage works are proposed in the application. Before any new building works are to be carried out on site, prior approval and consent of the Building Authority (BA) should be obtained unless they are exempted building works or commenced under the simplified requirement under the Minor Works Control System, otherwise they are unauthorized building works under the BO. An AP should be appointed as the co-ordinator for the proposed building works in accordance with the BO;

(ii) the Site shall be provided with means of obtaining access thereto from a street under the regulation 5 of the Building (Planning) Regulation (B(P)R) and emergency vehicular access shall be provided under the regulation 41D of B(P)R;

(iii) the Site is not abutting on a specified street having a width not less than 4.5m, the development intensity shall be determined by the BA under regulation 19(3) of the B(P)R at building plan submission stage;

(iv) if any existing structure is erected on leased land without the approval of the BA, they are UBW under the BO and should not be designated for any applied uses under the application

(v) for UBW erected on leased land, enforcement action may be taken by BD to effect their removal in accordance with the prevailing enforcement policy against UBW as and when necessary. The granting of any planning approval should not be construed as an acceptance of any existing building works or UBW on the Site under the BO;

(vi) before any new building works (including containers/open sheds as temporary buildings, demolition and land filling, etc.) are to be carried out on the Site, prior approval and consent of the BA should be obtained, otherwise they are UBW under the BO. An AP should be appointed as the co-ordinator for the proposed building works in accordance with the BO;

(vii) any temporary shelters or converted containers for office, storage, washroom or other uses are considered as temporary buildings are subject to the control of Part VII of the B(P)R;

(viii) in general, there is no requirement under the BO in respect of provision of car parking spaces for the applied use. However, the applicants' attention is drawn to the provision of accessible car parking spaces designated for the use of persons with a disability as per the requirements under Regulation 72 of the B(P)R and Division 3 of Design Manual: Barrier Free Access 2008;

(ix) the applicant's attention is drawn to the provision under Regulations 40 and 41 of the Building (Standards of Sanitary Fitments, Plumbing, Drainage Works and Latrines) Regulation in respect of disposal of foul water and surface water respectively;

(x) the 5.5m high shed is considered excessive. It should be justified upon formal plan submission to BD; and

(xi) detailed checking under the BO will be carried out at building plan submission stage.

□Urgent □Return receipt □Expand Group □Restricted □Prevent Copy

tpbpd/PLAND

寄件者: [redacted]
寄件日期: 2026年10月14日星期三 1:09
收件者: tpbpd/PLAND
主旨: A/NE-HLH/95 DD 87 Hang Lung Hang

類別: Internet Email

Dear TPB Membrs,

Despite the appalling history of failure to fulfill conditions 82 was streamlined with no questions asked and approved 5 Sept 2025.

Of course conditions not fufilled and back with some obfuscation regarding the footprint, which has haoever remained the same.

ZERO with regard to any proof that condtions are being addressed.

At this point if teh system has any INTEGRITY, doubtful, the rug should be pulled on this operation with members abiding by the guidelines.

Mary Mulvihill

From: [redacted]
To: "tpbpd" <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>, "ceo" <ceo@ceo.gov.hk>
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2025 3:54:52 AM
Subject: A/NE-HLH/82 DD 87 Hang Lung Hang

A/NE-HLH/82

Lot 396 in D.D. 87, Hung Lung Hang

Site area: About 1,886sq.m

Zoning: "Agriculture"

Applied use: Warehouse / 7 Vehicle Parking

Dear TPB Members,

It is high time that the Chief Executive review the operation of Town Planning Board.

□Urgent □Return receipt □Expand Group □Restricted □Prevent Copy
Despite the appalling history of failure to fulfil conditions and illegal land use Application 61 was STREAMLINED AND APPROVED NO QUESTIONS ASKED.

5. *Background The Site is subject to planning enforcement actions (No. E/NE-HLH/140 and E/NE-HLH/144) against unauthorized developments (UDs) involving storage use (including deposit of containers) and use for place for parking of vehicles (Plan A-2). As the UD had not been discontinued upon the expiry of the EN,* ***the notice recipient was prosecuted and convicted on 16.8.2023.*** *The total fine of the case was $91,700. The latest site inspection revealed that the Site is largely vacant and is currently under close monitoring by the Planning Authority.*

10.4 District Officer (North), Home Affairs Department (DO(N), HAD) has relayed the following local views on the application: (a) he has consulted the locals regarding the application. ***The incumbent North District Councilor of N16 Constituency, the Indigenous Inhabitant Representative (IIR) and the Resident Representative (RR) of Chow Tin Tsuen and IIR of Tai Po Tin object to the application****. The IIR and RR of San Uk Ling object to the application as there are already many warehouses in the area, causing adverse traffic and environmental impact on the surroundings.*

Apart from the enforcement issue, there were legitimate objections from local residents but the members ignored this despite one of the conditions for streamlining is that

(e) public comment: no substantial adverse public comments.

Once again conditions were not fulfilled so applicant has now changed the land use to Warehouse.

That blatant exploitation of the system is supported not condemned indicates that all the proclamations on the part of the CE about rule of law is no more than hot air.

The application should be rejected as this operator has clearly no intention of ever fulfilling conditions put in place to secure the safety and well being of the community.

The board is neglecting to fulfill its duty in giving priority to "promoting the health, **safety**, convenience, and general welfare of the community".

Mary Mulvihill

From: [redacted]
To: tpbpd <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Date: Sunday, 2 April 2023 3:00 AM HKT
Subject: A/NE-HLH/61 DD 87 Hang Lung Hang

Dear TPB Members,

So request for review was withdrawn and now applicant is back with what was obviously the true intention all along, OPEN STORAGE and 11 vehicle parking.
Clearly even less justification in view of :

□Urgent □Return receipt □Expand Group □Restricted □Prevent Copy
702nd RNTPC MEETING ON 26.08.2022 Application 58

26. After deliberation, the Committee decided to reject the application. The reasons were :

(a) the development is not in line with the planning intention of the "Agriculture" zone which is primarily to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. There is no strong planning justification in the current submission for a departure from the planning intention, even on a temporary basis; and

(b) **the applicant fails to demonstrate that the development would not cause adverse traffic impact on the surrounding areas**.- no of vehicles increased!

Members should question PlanD with regard to outcome of enforcement measures.

Mary Mulvihill

From: [redacted]
To: tpbpd <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Date: Sunday, 2 April 2023 2:57 AM CST
Subject: Re: A/NE-HLH/58 DD 87 Hang Lung Hang

Dear TPB Members,

So request for review was withdrawn and now applicant is back with what was obviously the true intention all along, OPEN STORAGE and 11 vehicle parking.
Clearly even less justification in view of :
702nd RNTPC MEETING ON 26.08.2022 Application 58

26. After deliberation, the Committee decided to reject the application. The reasons were :

(a) the development is not in line with the planning intention of the "Agriculture" zone which is primarily to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. There is no strong planning justification in the current submission for a departure from the planning intention, even on a temporary basis; and

(b) the applicant fails to demonstrate that the development would not cause adverse traffic impact on the surrounding areas.

From: [redacted]
To: tpbpd <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Date: Wednesday, 19 October 2022 3:06 AM CST
Subject: Re: A/NE-HLH/58 DD 87 Hang Lung Hang

□Urgent □Return receipt □Expand Group □Restricted □Prevent Copy

Dear TPB,

4. Background
The Site is the subject of a planning enforcement action against unauthorized development (UD)
involving storage use (including deposit of containers) and parking of vehicles. An Enforcement Notice (EN) (No. E/NE-HLH/144) was issued on 23.5.2022 requiring discontinuation of the UD by 23.6.2022. **As revealed in recent site inspection, UD had not been discontinued upon expiry**
of the notice, prosecution action may be followed.
The First ViceChairman of Ta Kwu Ling District Rural Committee, the Indigenous Inhabitant Representative (IIR) and the Resident Representative (RR) of San Uk Ling object to the application mainly on the grounds that the Site is situated on a narrow road and there are not enough ancillary facilities to support the application; and the development would deteriorate traffic congestion problems in the area.

Clearly no justification for review.

Mary Mulvihill

From: [redacted]
To: tpbpd <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Date: Sunday, 31 July 2022 3:35 AM CST
Subject: A/NE-HLH/58 DD 87 Hang Lung Hang

Dear TPB Members,

Application 40 was withdrawn and now its 7 medium vehicle parking, ie around 250sq.m per vehicle???

Will members be gullible enough to fall for this?

PlanD should describe the current condition of the site and if any enforcement action has been taken for what is clearly an ongoing brownfield activity.

Previous objections upheld.

Mary Mulvihill

From: [redacted]
To: tpbpd <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Date: Sunday, 12 January 2020 3:30 AM CST
Subject: A/NE-HLH/40 DD 87 Hang Lung Hang

A/NE-HLH/40

□Urgent □Return receipt □Expand Group □Restricted □Prevent Copy

Lot 396 in D.D. 87, Hung Lung Hang

Site area : About 1,886sq.m

Zoning : "Agriculture"

Applied use: Office/ 8 Vehicle Parking

Dear TPB Members,

This is the same application dressed up in new clothes. Previous objections upheld.

There is no justification to deviate from the decision of 6 Sept 2019.

Mary Mulvihill

From: [redacted]
To: "tpbpd" <tpbpd@pland.gov.hk>
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019 3:00:58 AM
Subject: A/NE-HLH/36 DD 87 Hang Lung Hang

A/NE-HLH/36
Lot 396 in D.D. 87, Hung Lung Hang
Site area : About 1943m²
Zoning : "Agriculture"
Applied Use : Open Storage

Dear TPB Members,

This application is similar to 33, another site where unauthorized activities have been carried out.

Minutes of 4 Jan 2019

"(a) the proposed development is not in line with the planning intention of the "Agriculture" ("AGR") zone which is intended primarily to retain and safeguard good quality agricultural land/farm/fish ponds for agricultural purposes. It is also intended to retain fallow arable land with good potential for rehabilitation for cultivation and other agricultural purposes. There is no strong planning justification in the submission for a departure from the planning intention, even on a temporary basis;
(b) the proposed development does not comply with the Town Planning Board Guidelines No. 13E for 'Application for Open Storage and Port Back-up Uses under Section 16 of the Town Planning Ordinance' in that no previous planning approval has been granted at the Site and there are adverse departmental comments and local objection on the application;
(c) the applicant fails to demonstrate that the proposed development would not generate adverse traffic and environmental impacts on the surrounding areas; and

□Urgent □Return receipt □Expand Group □Restricted □Prevent Copy

(d) the approval of the application, even on a temporary basis, would set an undesirable precedent for similar applications within the "AGR" zone. The cumulative effect of approving such applications would result in a general degradation of the rural environment of the area."

It is obvious that you just need to copy and paste to this application.

Mary Mulvihill

□Urgent □Return receipt □Expand Group □Restricted □Prevent Copy

2

tpbpd/PLAND

寄件者: [redacted]
寄件日期: 2026年10月13日星期二 10:20
收件者: tpbpd/PLAND;[redacted]
主旨: 有關的規劃申請編號: A/NE-HLH/95
附件: ANEHLH95 DD87 Lot 396 Hung Lung Hang.pdf

類別: Internet Email

致城市規劃委員會秘書：

附上有關的規劃申請編號: A/NE-HLH/95 的反對意見回覆如下及請查附件:-

反對意見:

有關的規劃申請編號 The application no. to which the comment relates A/NE-HLH/95

意見詳情（如有需要，請另頁說明）

Details of the Comment (use separate sheet if necessary)

1. 交通負荷及道路安全風險：進出場地需經本鄉小路連接缸瓦甫路，該段路面狹窄且屬非標準道路，增加中型貨車出入，將大幅加重交通負荷，貨車轉彎佔用空間較大，於狹窄彎位及路口危及附近居民出入及行人安全，緊急車輛如救護車、消防車通行時如將受阻，救援時間拖延，對本鄉整體處理緊急意外時構成直接風險。

2. 水浸風險加劇:中型貨車頻繁出入將加劇路面損耗，破壞現有道路結構，狹窄小路排水能力有限，車輛增加帶來的泥沙、空氣污染及路面破損，將進一步降低排水效率，夏季暴雨頻密，容易在低窪路段積水，對本鄉生活交通水患構成持續負面影響。

「提意見人」姓名/名稱 Name of person/company making this comment

打鼓嶺區鄉事委員會

[redacted]

□Urgent □Return receipt □Expand Group □Restricted □Prevent Copy
敬請回覆收妥上述電郵及以透過傳真 2877 0245 回覆文件。

專此奉達,順頌

鈞安

打鼓嶺區鄉事委員會

致城市規劃委員會秘書：

專人送遞或郵遞:香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓

傳真：2877 0245 或 2522 8426

電郵: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

To : Secretary, Town Planning Board

By hand or post : 15/F, North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong

By Fax : 2877 0245 or 2522 8426

By e-mail : tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

有關的規劃申請編號 The application no. to which the comment relates

A/NE-HLH/95

意見詳情（如有需要，請另頁說明）

Details of the Comment (use separate sheet if necessary)

1. 交通負荷及道路安全風險：進出場地需經本鄉小路連接缸瓦甫路，該段路面狹窄且屬非標準道路，增加中型貨車出入，將大幅加重交通負荷，貨車轉彎佔用空間較大，於狹窄彎位及路口危及附近居民出入及行人安全，緊急車輛如救護車、消防車通行時如將受阻，救援時間拖延，對本鄉整體處理緊急意外時構成直接風險。

2. 水浸風險加劇:中型貨車頻繁出入將加劇路面損耗，破壞現有道路結構，狹窄小路排水能力有限，車輛增加帶來的泥沙、空氣污染及路面破損，將進一步降低排水效率，夏季暴雨頻密，容易在低窪路段積水，對本鄉生活交通水患構成持續負面影響。

「提意見人」姓名/名稱 Name of

打鼓嶺區鄉事委員會

[redacted]

Tai Lung District Rural Committee 打鼓嶺區鄉事委員會

簽署 Signature _____ 日期 Date 13 Oct 2026

致城市規劃委員會秘書：
專人送遞或郵遞:香港北角渣華道 333 號北角政府合署 15 樓
傳真 : 2877 0245 或 2522 8426
電郵: tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

3

To : Secretary, Town Planning Board
By hand or post : 15/F, North Point Government Offices, 333 Java Road, North Point, Hong Kong
By Fax : 2877 0245 or 2522 8426
By e-mail : tpbpd@pland.gov.hk

有關的規劃申請編號 The application no. to which the comment relates
A/NE-HLH/95

意見詳情 (如有需要，請另頁說明)
Details of the Comment (use separate sheet if necessary)

[illegible]

「提意見人」姓名/名稱 Name of person/company making this comment [illegible]

簽署 Signature [illegible] 日期 Date 2026.9.30